

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 1 through 22 are pending in the application and the Examiner rejected all claims. Applicant has amended independent claims 1, 8, and 15 to incorporate the limitations of dependent claims 3, 10, and 17, respectively. Applicant submits that the addition of these limitations to the independent claims patentably defines the present invention over Ebro, as discussed below.

**Claim Rejections, 35 U.S.C. §102**

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-22 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0168169 to Ebro et al. ("Ebro").

**The Present Invention**

The present invention teaches a method, system, and computer program product enabling multiple versions/releases of a J2EE application to be served to clients from a single application server, *using an identical service name* to invoke the multiple versions. One or more JNDI proxies are situated between each client and the application server. Specifically, claim 1 recites "interposing a Java Naming and Directory Interface (JNDI) proxy between each client and the application server" (line 4). The JNDI proxies allow the identical public "service name" to be utilized by different clients to access different versions of programs/services on the application server. Claim 1 further recites "associating each client with one of said versions; and using said

JNDI proxy, directing the version associated with a particular client to said particular client upon a request by said particular client for said J2EE program” (lines 5-7). The JNDI proxies perform this association by translating the service name into a non-public “alias name” on behalf of the client. The alias name is a private name that the service provider who administers the application server understands and uses to locate the specific version of programs/services that the clients need.

**U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0168169 to Ebro et al.**

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0168169 to Ebro et al. (“Ebro”) teaches a method of aiding deployment in a distributed computer system, using application software components, including providing a tree representation of objects existing in at least some of the application software components, the tree representation including an object as a leaf node, with the attributes of the object being in nexus between the root and the leaf node. Ebro also provides an accessor object predefined names and functions used to access the individual leaf nodes, thereby facilitating remote application access.

**The Cited Prior Art Does Not Anticipate the Claimed Invention**

The MPEP and case law provide the following definition of anticipation for the purposes of 35 U.S.C. §102:

“A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference.”  
MPEP §2131 citing *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Company of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987)

**The Examiner Has Not Established a *prima facie* Case of Anticipation**

As noted above, the present claimed invention includes associating each client with one of multiple versions of a J2EE program stored on a single application server based upon a request by the particular client. An identical service name is used for the invocation of the multiple versions of the J2EE program. A typical prior art J2EE program has multiple levels, some of which are run on a client side, and some of which are run on the server side. In such prior art systems, in order to avoid incompatibility issues, both the server side and the client side must be running the same version of the J2EE program.

In the present invention, multiple versions of J2EE programs are maintained in a single server for assuring compatibility with all users, and all users use the same service name to invoke a different version of the program. This is advantageous over the prior art which either forced a client to upgrade its program to the current version, or redirected a client to another server which had the outdated version. Forcing a client to upgrade has inherent risks, e.g., the client's computer may not have the hardware resources to handle the upgraded version. The other alternative, redirecting clients to a second server with a matching software version incurs additional hardware costs and requires additional programming effort to configure multiple application servers. For these reasons, prior art application providers do not attempt to provide multiple versions or releases of the same application. The present invention, however, patentably defines as novel over the prior art, including Ebro, by teaching associating each client with one of

multiple versions of a J2EE program stored on a single application server, and allowing the multiple versions to be invoked using the same service name.

Without a teaching of associating each client with one of multiple versions of a J2EE program stored on a single application server based upon a request using an identical service name by each client, Ebro cannot be said to anticipate the present invention. Accordingly, each of the independent claims, and all claims depending therefrom, patentably define over Ebro and are in condition for allowance.

**Conclusion**

The present invention is not taught or suggested by the prior art. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection of the claims. An early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 09-0457.

Respectfully submitted,

June 2, 2008  
Date

/Mark D. Simpson/  
Mark D. Simpson  
Registration No. 32,942

SAUL EWING LLP  
Centre Square West  
1500 Market Street, 38<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2189  
Telephone: 215 972 7880  
Facsimile: 215 972 4169  
Email: MSimpson@saul.com